04-20-05

PTO/SB/21 (04-04)

Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

<u> </u>						
\$	IOMITTAL		Application Number	10/829	,101	
TRANSMITTAL FORM			Filing Date April		1, 2004	
			First Named Inventor	rst Named Inventor Lutkus et a		
(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)		al filing)	Art Unit	3677		
			Examiner Name	Katheri	ine W. Mitchell	
Total Number of Pages in This Submission			Attorney Docket Number	r 0275M-	000916 (formerly 0275V-000915)	
		ENCLOS	URES (check all that appl	(y) _		
Fee Transmittal Form		Drawing(s)			After Allowance Communication to Technology Center (TC)	
Fee Attached		Licensing-related Papers			Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences	
Amendment / Reply		Petition			Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)	
After Final		Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application		☐ Prop	Proprietary Information	
Affidavits/declaration(s)		Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address		s Stat	Status Letter	
Extension of Time Request		Terminal Disclaimer			Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):	
Express Abandonment Request		Request for Refund CD, Number of CD(s)			Reply to Restriction Requirement; and Return Postcard Receipt	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement						
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)		Remarks The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees that may be required under 37 CFR 1.16 or 1.17 to Deposit Account No. 08-0750. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.				
Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application			Account No. 00-07	oo. A duplica	the copy of this sheet is enclosed.	
Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53						
	SIGNA	TURE OF AF	PPLICANT, ATTORNEY	, OR AGEN	Т	
Firm or Individual name Harness, Dickey &		Pierce, P.L.C.	Attorney Name Robert M. Siminsk / Mark A. Frentrupi		Reg. No. 36,007 / 41,026	
Signature	Mark		A. Frentryp			
Date 4/19			9/05			
	C	ERTIFICATE	OF TRANSMISSION/M	IAILING		
					ted with the United States Postal ner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450,	
			·			
Service with sufficient	I-1450 on the date sl	nown below.		Express Mail Label No.	EV 570 162 471 US (4/19/2005)	

process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to 12 minutes to the (and by the USFTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.





IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application No.:

10/829,101

Filing Date:

April 21, 2004

Applicant:

Lutkus et al

Group Art Unit:

3677

Examiner:

Katherine W. Mitchell

Title:

CHROMATE FREE

FLUOROPOLYMER COATED

FASTENER INSERTS

Attorney Docket:

0275M-000915 (formerly 0275V-000915)

Director of The United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Reply to Restriction Requirement

Sir:

In response to the Restriction Requirement mailed March 23, 2005, Applicants respectfully elect Group II with traverse. Group II corresponds to claims 11-18 drawn to a fastener.

The MPEP states that even if Inventions are distinct, they must be examined together if doing so would not cause a burden on the office. Applicants respectfully submit that, although Inventions I and II are patentably distinct, examining them together would not cause an undue burden on the Examiner. Specifically, it is believed that the same art used to examine one Invention would be searched in order to examine the other. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully

request that the requirement for restriction be withdrawn and that all of claims 1-18 be examined together.

In light of the above, Applicants earnestly request favorable consideration. The Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned if that would be helpful to resolving any issue.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: 4/19/2005

Bv:

Robert M. Siminski
Reg. No. 36,007
Mark A. Frentrup
Reg. No. 41,026

Attorneys for Applicant

HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. P.O. Box 828 Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48303 (248) 641-1600

RMS/MAF/cg